





Performance Score Sheet

Team Name:	Country:	Primary/Secondary
Assessors Name:		

Category	Examples of how high marks may be achieved are:	Mark
Entertainment	Non-repetitive robot movements and/or a varied robot performance	/8
value	There is a link, or common theme demonstrated by the whole	
	performance	
	A digital display that integrates and/or complements the performance	
	A performance that is engaging throughout	
	Ambitious use of the stage area	
	Robot movement(s) are choreographed tightly to the music	
	Only robots and two performers are allowed on stage.	
	No props or scenery are allowed on the stage	
Innovation &	Robots are home-built, not kits	/8
Originality	Technologies are used in new or different ways not seen before	
	Unusual technologies are used – for example unusual mechanical,	
	electronic or power systems	
Quality of	Reliable robots that do not fall apart and work as expected for the	/8
Display	duration of the performance	
	Home-built robot costumes complement the performance and are	
	engaging	
	A slick and polished performance throughout the display	
Technical	Robot movement around the whole stage area	/8
Complexity	Synchronization and/or communication between robots	
	Risky movements by robots	
	Interaction between digital display and the robots	
Sensor &	Sensors that "add value" to the performance	/8
Interactions	Sensors are used in 'original' or different ways	ŕ
	Communication between robots to develop the performance	
	Human-robot interaction (not remote control)	
	Robot-robot interaction	
	Use of coloured markers (Secondary only)	
	Primary: The use of line tracking robots on mats will NOT be rewarded highly	
	Secondary: No lines or mats are allowed on the stage	
Deductions	• Each unplanned human intervention: -3	
	Restarts: -3 for each re-start	
	Allotted time: -3 for each 10 seconds over	
	Within area: -3 for each infraction of the boundary	
	Teams that infringe the rules should be warned that such infringements will	
	not be allowed in the second performance and marks deducted	
	appropriately at the judge's discretion.	• -
Total Score		/40



Electronic

Hardware

Robotic

Communication

& Interaction

Deductions

marks each)

Total Score

(at discretion of

judges - up to 15





/8

/6

/30

Technical Interview Score Sheet

Team Name:	Country: Primary/S	econdary
Assessors Nam	ne:	
	ng copies of their programs and details of mechanical and electrical hardware to t	:he interview;
otherwise, thes	e categories cannot be assess	
Category	Examples of how high marks may be achieved are:	Mark
Programming	 Using an age appropriate programming language Able to explain how the program works and interactions between the hardware and software Creating innovative programming solutions 	/8
	Developing librariesExplain decisions made and any limitations of the software	
Mechanical Hardware	 Implementing reliable mechanical systems Complex/innovative mechanical systems Able to explain how the mechanical systems work Mechanisms that have been developed for very high precision, or for mechanically 'difficult' situations Appropriate actuators have been used, and there is an understanding of 	/8
	why they have been chosen.	

Electronics have been developed/home built (as age appropriate)

Explain decisions made and any limitations of the electronics

An understanding of how the communication is occurring

Innovative use of technologies to aid performance (e.g., cameras, speed controllers/motor controllers, GPS, different micro-controllers etc.)

Sensors used to achieve robot-robot interaction, for example robots

Judges should satisfy themselves that this is the work of the students.

Originality of robot software and hardware (no re-use from previous

All team members are able to discuss their technical involvement with the

An understanding of how the electronics works Innovative use of sensors/integration of sensors

Use of effective robotic communication

Development of communication architectures

Sensors used to achieve robot-human interaction

Award Recommendations: Notes:

following robots

competitions)

robot







Open Technical Demonstration Score Sheet

Team Name: Primary/Secondary

The since of the Ocean Technical Demonstration and the
The aims of the Open Technical Demonstration are to:
 Demonstrate the capabilities of the robot(s)
Explain the robot system and key capabilities
 Demonstrate fully working robot systems which work as described
 Focus on the key, innovative and original capabilities of the robot(s) developed
 Effectively communicates the technical capabilities of the robot to the audience with a high quality demonstration
Examples of areas on which the demonstration and explanation could cover include:
• Demonstration and explanation of a working mechanism which is complex, effective, overcomes a particular challenge or addresses reliability and stability

- protocols)
- Successful implementation of a software algorithm
 A specific sub-system which is original and innovative
- Any interesting drive mechanisms and how these are controlled
- Choice of sensors and what the sensors are used to detect or interact with and explanation of algorithms used for sensing

Demonstration of successful robot-robot or robot-human interactions(e.g. through sensors or communication

- Any signal progressing of sensor data which is used (e.g. analogue/digital/frequency domain)
- Explanation of software architecture developed
- Integration of entire system (electronics, software, electronics, mechanics)
- Any communication mechanisms used to ensure efficient and reliable communication between robots
- The biggest challenges/problem which have been overcome, e.g. sourcing enough power, reliability, interactivity
- Any feedback loops used (e.g. using sensor feedback)

Category	Mark
Demonstration of robots' technical capabilities which are fully-working	/15
Explanation of robots' capabilities	/10
Clarity and quality of the demonstration	/5
Deductions	
Total Score	/30

Award Recommendations:	Notes:
, to all a recommendations.	